

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/555,897	DE AMBROSI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ganapathy Krishnan	1623	

All Participants:

(1) Ganapathy Krishnan.

(2) Mr. Justin Krieger.

Date of Interview: 18 May 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed: _____

Claims discussed: _____

Prior art documents discussed: _____

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

see continuation sheet.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

/Ganapathy Krishnan/
Examiner, Art Unit 1623

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Informed the Attorney of record:

1. If the term sensible is deleted from claim 10 and,

2. A Terminal Disclaimer to overcome the double patenting rejection of record is filed, the pending claims may be in a condition for allowance.

The Attorney of record agreed to the proposed amendment to claim 10 and authorized an Examiner's amendment for the same and to the electronic filing of the Terminal Disclaimer.